



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/857,113	(05/31/2001	Harald Michi	10191/1775	9218	
26646	7590	07/05/2002				
KENYON &		ON	EXAMINER			
ONE BROAI NEW YORK		004	LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3661		
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A		A P	A		
	Application No.		Applicant(s)	0		
Office Action Summan	09/857,113		MICHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-		
The MANUAL DATE of this communication and	Jacques H. Louis		3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	Sneet With the C	orresponaence aaar	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min vill apply and will expire s cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 h	<u>1ay 2001</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	_					
4) Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are pending in the applicatio		nė: n m				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consider	auon.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>15-18,20,23-26 and 28</u> is/are rejected	•					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19, 21-22, 27</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction require	mont				
Application Papers	election require	Hent.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		ed to by the Exar	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office act	ion.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been rece	ived.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional a	pplication).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(s)	-	- -				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-			

Application/Control Number: 09/857,113

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 5/31/01 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-18, 20, 23-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al [5,745,870].

Yamamoto et al '870 discloses a travel path prediction apparatus and method for vehicle, a relative position of a second vehicle traveling ahead of a first is determined at predetermine timepoints. According to Yamamoto et al, a travel path of the first is predicted as a function of the course of the second vehicle. See figure 3 and 4, 8. Yamamoto et al also discloses a transverse offset between the predicted course and a curvature of the road, wherein the prediction of the travel path is determined based on the offset. Yamamoto et al further discloses a selection for selecting from a plurality of courses. Although, Yamamoto et al does not particularly discloses a storage device, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the teachings of Yamamoto et al

Application/Control Number: 09/857,113

Art Unit: 3661

which compares the determined paths because such modification, as suggested by Yamamoto et al, would avoid possible collision of the vehicles, thereby improving safety.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 19, 21-22, 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6,067,031	Janky et al	May 2000
5,648,905	Izumi et al	Jul. 1997
5,841,366	Yamamoto et al	Nov. 1998
5,467,283	Butsuen et al	Nov. 1995
5,467,284	Yoshida et al	Nov. 1995
5,510,990	Hibino et al	Apr. 1996
EP0657857	Izumi et al	Jun. 1995
JP07220199	Izumi et al	Aug. 1995

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H. Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is (703) 305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30 AM - 4:00 PM (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers



Application/Control Number: 09/857,113

Art Unit: 3661

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1111.

Jacques H. Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661

/jlj June 30, 2002

